

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/869,685 | 06/29/2001 | Rene Bruno | P23,565-A US | 8546 |
| 75 | 90 09/10/2002 | | | |
| Alexis Barron Synnestvedt & Lechner 2600 Aramark Tower | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NICKOL, GARY B | |
| 1101 Markter S Philadelphia, PA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| . , | | | 1642 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | 09/869,685 | BRUNO, RENE | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. | 1642 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | / ' ' | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/869,685

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-29 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-5, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for determining the dosage of a taxoid to administer to a patient who is being treated for cancer and whose body fluids include alpha-1-acid glycoprotein.

Group 2, claim(s) 6-13, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for assessing the effect of treatment of a patient who has cancer comprising assessing survival time and side effects experienced by the patient.

Group 3, claim(s) 14-29, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for reducing the side effects experienced by a patient who has cancer and who is to be treated with a taxoid comprising recommending the dosage of said taxoid to administer to reduce the incidence or severity of side effects.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-3 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups 1-3 encompass different special technical features as identified in the groupings above. The inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under unity of invention between different categories of inventions unity of invention will only be found to exist if specific combinations of inventions are present. Those combinations include:

- A) A product and a special process of manufacture of said product.
- B) A product and a process of use of said product.
- C) A product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and a process of use of said product.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,685

Art Unit: 1642

D) A process and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process.

E) A product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and an apparatus

specially designed to carry out said process.

The allowed combinations do not include multiple special technical methods, as claimed in the instant application. Hence, <u>only one method</u> relates to a single general inventive concept. Since multiple methods with different special technical features are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT article 17(3) (a) and 1.476 (c), 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d). Accordingly, Groups 1-3 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept and restriction is proper.

Species Election

Claims 3-4, 8-9, and 16-17 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising distinct types of neoplasms such as breast, ovarian, lung, melanoma, and or non-small cell lung cancer.

Claims 11-13, and 19-21 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following dosages:

a) 55-200 mg/m²; b) 55-125 mg/m²; c) 135-175 mg/m²

Claim 22 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising distinct and various types of side effects including neutropenia, infection, diarrhea, neurotoxicity, etc.

Claims 27-29 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following recommended dosages:

a) 5 to about 35% below common dosage; b) 10 to about 30% below common dosage; c) 15 to about 27% below common dosage

Art Unit: 1642

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Each species has a different special technical feature which encompasses separate and distinct diseases which differ at least in etiology, pathology, and mechanisms; distinct methods wherein the steps and reagents of the above species are completely distinct and impart different biological functions and uses; and distinct products which represent separate and distinct molecules with different structures and functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 09/869,685

Art Unit: 1642

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-7143.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular

communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Gary B. Nickol, Ph.D.

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 1642

GBN

September 9, 2002